

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/756,493	(01/08/2001	Hirotoshi Takemori	70551/55523	4293	
21874	7590	10/22/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L			
	P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, WA 02203		.5		2655		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/756,493	TAKEMORI ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jorge L Ortiz-Criado	2655						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the Carl animation wh	iahawa ia latar da					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief'must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· / /		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-11</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen								
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, For example, the limitations of a "a polyolefin-type polymer film charaterized by a first type of film index ellipsoid...a polyolefin-type polymer film charaterized by a film index ellipsoid of a different type from said first type of film index ellipsoid...". And, the lmitation "...changed into said first type of film index ellipsoid by SAID STRETCHING" would require further consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112 basis.

TAN DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/19/04